**Quantitative Research: A Successful****Investigation in Natural and Social Sciences**

**Abstract:** Research is the framework used for the planning, implementation, and analysis of a study. The proper choice of a suitable research methodology can provide an effective and successful original research. A researcher can reach his/her expected goal by following any kind of research methodology. Quantitative research methodology is preferred by many researchers. This article presents and analyzes the design of quantitative research. It also discusses the proper use and the components of quantitative research methodology. It is used to quantify attitudes, opinions, behaviors, and other defined variables and generalize results from a larger sample population by the way of generating numerical data. The purpose of this study is to provide some important fundamental concepts of quantitative research to the common readersfor the development of their future projects, articles and/or theses. An attempt has been taken here to study theaspects of the quantitative research methodology in some detail.
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1. **Introduction**

 Research is a systematic and organized effort to investigate a specific problem to provide a solution. The aim of it is to add new knowledge, develop theories as well as gathering evidence to prove generalizations [Sekaran, 2000]. Research can be classified into three basic categories as [Swanson & Holton, 2005; Kothari, 2008; Creswell, 2011]: 1) quantitative research, 2) qualitative research, and 3) mixed method research. Each of these methods plays important roles in research area. Researchers choose any one of the above three types of research methods according to the research aim, the objectives, the nature of the topic and the research questions to identify, collect and analyze information [Goertz & Mahoney, 2012].

 Quantitative research is formal, objective, rigorous, deductive approach, and systematic strategies for generating and refining knowledge to problem solving [Burns & Grove, 2005]. Its designs are either experimental or non-experimental and seek to obtain accurate and reliable measurements [Rahman, 2017]. It consists of systematic observation and description of the characteristics or properties of objects or events for the purpose of discovering relationships between an independent (predictor)variable and a dependent (outcome) variable within a population [Best, 1981]. The word “quantitative” means quantity or amounts (how many) information collected in the course of the study and is in a quantified or numeric form, i.e., in statistical supports, often using software, such as Excel, Access, SPSS, Python, SAS, JMP, R or Stata [White & Millar, 2014]. Quantitative research explains phenomena by collecting numerical unchanging detailed data that are analyzed using mathematical based methods, in particular statistics that pose questions of who, what, when, where, how much, how many, and how. It deals in numbers, logic, and an objective stance. It is an original research in which the researcher decides what to study, asks specific, narrow question, collects quantifiable data from participants, analyze these numbers using statistics, and conducts the inquiry in an unbiased, objective manner [Creswell, 2011]. It considers interpersonal relationships, personal values, meanings, beliefs, thoughts, and feelings with human beings. It manipulates variables and control natural phenomena [Rarner, 1989].

 Quantitative research appeared around 1250, and was driven by investigators with the need to quantify data. Since then quantitative research has dominated the western cultural as the research method to create new knowledge. This method was originally developed in the natural sciences to study natural phenomena [Williams, 2007]. In quantitative research, a variable is a factor that can be controlled or changed in an experiment [Wong, 2014]. It deals with quantifying and analyzing variables in order to get results. It is strictly positivistic, objective, scientific, and experimental. It should be used when, a highly structured research design is needed and can be naturally imposed on the experiment being conducted, the researcher needs to be totally objective; is not part of what he(s) observes, and does not bring his/her own interests, values, or biases to the research, and although the phenomena being captured may be complex, they can be broken down and assigned some type of numerical value [Chen, 2011].

 Quantitative research methods deal with numbers and anything those are measurable in a systematic way of investigation of phenomena and their relationships. It is used to answer questions on relationships within measurable variables with an intention to explain, predict and control a phenomenon [Leedy, 1993]. In quantitative research researchers decide what to study, asks specific and narrow questions, collects quantifiable data from participants, analyzes these numbers using statistics, and conducts the inquiry in an unbiased and objective manner. At present two-thirds research articles are published by the use of quantitative data, which are highly valid and provide high level of research quality. The analysis of information from large samples almost inevitably requires quantitative methods [Hunter & Leahey, 2008].

 In quantitative research statistical, mathematical or computational techniques are applied to obtain the accurate results. Recently this type of research is widely used in business studies, natural sciences, mathematical sciences and social sciences. The quantitative research data are collected through closed-ended questionnaires. The type of data is in numerical form, such as statistics, percentages, graphs, etc. The data are used to develop and employ models based on the form of mathematical models, theories, and hypotheses to obtain the desired result. A researchhypothesis is an empirically testable statement that is generated from a proposition, which is clearly stated relationbetween independent and dependent variables [Given, 2008].

 In the quantitative methodology, researchers use the scientific method that starts with the specific theory and hypotheses for research procedures. They attempt to achieve rich, real, deep, and valid data. They observe the world as objective and seek measureable relationships among variables to test and verify their study hypotheses [Swanson & Holton, 2005]. However, the last 40‒50 years have witnessed an uprising of the qualitative and quantitative research approaches particularly in the fields of sociology, education, and some new disciplines, such as economics, psychology, political science, community health, health and human development, female studies, demography, nursing science, and business studies in the form of sociological tradition [Creswell, 2011]. The findings from quantitative research can be predictive, explanatory, and confirming [Williams, 2007]. The objective of quantitative research is to develop and use mathematical models, theories and hypotheses/propositions pertaining to phenomena [Given, 2008].

1. **Literature Review**

 The literature review is an introductory section where the investigator needs to determine what is known and not known about the problem, identify knowledge gaps, establish the significance of the study and situate the study within existing knowledge [Polit & Hungler, 2013]. Meredith E. Young and Anna Ryan describe the ontology, epistemology, methodologies, axiology, signs of rigor, and common critiques of postpositivism in health professions education [Young & Ryan, 2020]. Jacob Kola Aina reviewed two types of constructivism: social and cognitive constructivism for effective physics teaching [Aina, 2017]. Douglas Warfield indicates that the evolution of information systems and information technology (IS/IT) has fueled the battle of research methodologies among researchers, predominantly caused by the foundations of social sciences and computer technology that combine to form the business and technology application of IS/IT [Warfield, 2013].

 James Mahoney and Gary Goertz have suggested that the quantitative and qualitative research traditions can be thought of as distinct cultures marked by different values, beliefs, and norms. They have adopted these research traditions in ten areas as: approaches to explanation, conceptions of causation, multivariate explanations, equifinality, scope and causal generalization, case selection, weighting observations, substantively important cases, lack of fit, and concepts and measurement [Goertz & Mahoney, 2012]. Chao-Chien Chenstudiesthe appropriateness of using a quantitative research methodology that will examine several aspects of beep baseball as a way for the visually-impaired to lead more enjoyable leisure lives [Chen, 2011].

 Mohammad Ali Disman and M. Syaom Barliana discuss the weaknesses in the doctoral research, particularly data analysis and hypothesis testing by the use of statistical methods in quantitative approach [Disman & Barliana, 2017]. Suphat Sukamolson introduces some important fundamental concepts, such as types and assumptions, advantages, common misconceptions, etc. of quantitative research [*S*ukamolson, 2007]. Ochieng Pamela Atieno investigates the strengths and weaknesses of qualitative research and provides probable suggestions to overcome these limitations by the use of quantitative research [Atieno, 2009]. Md Shidur Rahman discusses the advantages, disadvantages, and ethical issues of qualitative and quantitative researches of language “testing and assessment”. He stresses that the quantitative research is dominant in the context of language testing and assessment research [Rahman, 2017].André Queirós, Daniel Faria,and Fernando Almeida analyze strengths and limitations of both qualitative and quantitative methodologies to obtain accurate and reliable measurements. According to them both methodologies offer a set of methods, potentialities and limitations that must be explored and known by researchers [Queirós et al., 2017].

 Susanna Loeb and her coauthors present a guide for more effectively approaching, conducting, and communicating quantitative descriptive analysis, which is a critical component of the scientific process. They also recommend for improving the ways in which quantitative descriptive findings are communicated throughout the education and research communities [Loeb et al., 2017]. Eyisi Daniel has shown a reason that although qualitative and quantitative research methods are different but both of them are useful in research for problem solving and to seek truth for the development of research. He has also discussed advantages, disadvantages, strengths and weaknesses of both methods in some details [Daniel, 2016]. In a review paper Haradhan Kumar Mohajan has discussed reliability and validity of a good research that increase transparency, and decrease opportunities to insert researcher bias in qualitative research [Mohajan, 2017]. In another paper he has studied the background of the qualitative research methodology in social sciences and some other related subjects [Mohajan, 2018a].

 Lydell H. Hall tries to determine a correlation between transformational leadership behavior exhibited by the leadership team and job satisfaction among California card room casino employees. He also attempts to find a correlation between transformational, transactional, or laissez-faire leadership styles exhibited by the leadership team and job satisfaction among California card room casino employees. He has found that in the first case employees are in the higher level of job satisfaction but, in the second case they show negative attitude on job satisfaction [Hall, 2018]. Moses Kumi Asamoah reexamines the limitations and uses of correlational studies [Asamoah, 2014].

 Edith de Leeuw, Joop Hox, and Don Dillman have discussed aspects of survey research [de Leeuw et al., 2008]. Maninder Singh Setia states that in a cross-sectional study, the investigator measures the outcome and the exposures in the study participants at the same time. He adds that the participants just are selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria set for the study [Setia, 2016]. Mo Wang and his coauthors attempt to clarify the conceptual, methodological, and practical issues that frequently emerge when researchers conduct longitudinal research [Wang et al., 2017]. Fernando Rajulton realizes thatlongitudinal data create many complexities, which is a great challenge to the researchers. He briefly discusses the historical development of ideas related to longitudinal studies [Rajulton, 2001]. David A Grimes and Kenneth F Schulz have realized that a cohort study is the best way to identify incidence and natural history of a disease, and can be used to examine multiple outcomes after a single exposure [Grimes & Schulz, 2002].

 Nelson Pinheiro Gomes and her coauthors discuss the development and consolidation process of trend studies, as a transversal area with transdisciplinary characteristics that was developed in connection with the concepts and practices of cultural studies [Gomes et al., 2018]. Anthony D. Harrisand his coauthors have performed quasi-experimental researchin the infectious diseases in the area of interventions aimed at decreasing the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria [Harris et al., 2004]. Ronald R. Powell discusses several evaluation methods, such as input measurement, output/performance measurement, impact/outcomes assessment, service quality assessment, process evaluation, benchmarking, standards, quantitative methods, qualitative methods, cost analysis, organizational effectiveness, program evaluation methods, and library and information (LIS) centered methods [Powell, 2006]. Monika Mueller and her coauthors study a systematic scoping review of published methodological recommendations on how to systematically review and meta-analyze observational studies. They have extracted and summarized recommendations on predefined key items, such as protocol development, research question, search strategy, study eligibility, data extraction, dealing with different study designs, risk of bias assessment, publication bias, heterogeneity, and statistical analysis [Mueller et al., 2018].

1. **Methodology of the Study**

 Word ‘research’ is comprised of two syllables, *re-* and *search*. Here *re-* means again, anew or over again, and *search* is a verb to examine closely and carefully, to test and try, or to probe. Together they form a noun describing a careful, systematic, patient study and investigation in some field of knowledge, undertaken to establish facts or principles [Grinnell, 1993]. Research is a diligent search, scholarly inquiry, investigation aimed at the discovery of new facts and findings. It may relate to any subject of inquiry with regard to collection of information, interpretation of facts, and revision of existing theories or laws in the light of new facts or evidence [Adams et al., 2007].It is a systematic and organized effort to investigate a specific problem to provide a solution [Sekaran, 2000]. It also emphasizes on creativity that is carried in a systematic way to improve knowledge which consists of human knowledge, culture, and society [OECD, 2002]. The research process usually consists of research stages, such as define research questions, collect data, data processing, answer the research questions and present the findings [Goertz & Mahoney, 2012].

 ‘Method’is aword coined of two Greek elements: *meth-* and *odos.* The *meth-* is an element meaning ‘after’, *odos* means ‘way’. A method is, therefore, a following *after the way* that someone found to be effective in solving a problem, of reaching an objective, in getting a job done [Leedy & Ormrod, 2001]. Greek element *ology* means ‘the study of’. Hence, research methodology is the systematic procedure adopted by researchers to solve a research problem that maps out the processes, approaches, techniques, research procedures, and instruments. It may be understood as a science of studying how research is done scientifically [Kothari, 2008]. Methodology is a system of explicit rules and procedure in which research is based and against which claims of knowledge are evaluated [Ojo, 2003]. Research methodology helps to identifyresearch areasand projects within these areas [Blessing et al., 1998]. Hence, research methodology is the systematic procedure adopted by researchers to solve a research problem that maps out the processes, approaches, techniques, research procedures, and instruments. It may be understood as a science of studying how research is done scientifically [Kothari, 2008].

 According to John W. Creswellquantitative research originated in the physical sciences, particularly in chemistry and physics [Creswell, 2011]. It is based on testing a theory, measured with numbers, and analyzed using statistical techniques. It deals to quantify and analyze variables in order to get accurate results. It emphasizes on objectivity and reproducibility, and a researcher can reach one’s goal easily [Smith*,* 1975]. Quantitative research acts for data collection which is typically numeric and the researcher wants to use mathematical models as the methodology of data analysis [Williams, 2007]. In this study we have used secondary data to enrich this paper. It can identify the domain, selection, designing and inclusion of various measuring variables in any research. For the collection of secondary data we have used both published and unpublished data sources. The published data are collected from books of famous authors, websites, national and international journals, e-journals, various publications of international organizations, hand books, theses, magazines, newspapers, various statistical reports, historical documents, information on internet, etc. On the other hand, the unpublished data are collected from diaries, letters, unpublished biographies and autobiographies of scholars, and from various public and private organizations.

 In this study we have discussed the aspects of quantitative research which include research design, test and measurement procedures, and statistical analysis. To understand the current knowledge in research of quantitative methodology we have adopted narrative reviews of well known scholars. The research methodology ultimately determines validity in the research and the value of the research. The reliability and validity are inevitable issues in any research. Quantitative research is more reliable than other researches. Quantitative methodology is judged for rigor and strength based on validity, reliability and generalizability [Morris & Burkett, 2011].

 It eliminates or attempts to eliminate extraneous variables within the internal structure of the study, and the data produced can also be assessed by standardized testing [Duffy, 1985]. We have tried to discuss various types of quantitative research; experimental research (pre-experimental, true experimental and quasi-experimental) and non-experimental research, such as descriptive research (observation studies, correlational research, and survey research), evaluation research, existing data, meta-analysis, causal comparative research, etc. In this study we have tried our best to maintain the reliability and validity throughout of the research. We have also taken attempts to enrich the article by highlighting the characteristics, advantages and disadvantages, strengths and weaknesses of quantitative research.

1. **Objective of the Study**

 The leading objective of this study is to represent the quantitative research methodology in natural and social sciences. The other specific objectives are as follows:

* To provide a historical background of thequantitative research.
* To discuss steps, research design, and types of quantitative research**.**
* To highlight characteristics, strengths and weaknesses, advantages and disadvantages of quantitative research.
1. **Historical Background**

 Every modern research is based on two main epistemological orientations: postpositivism and constructivism. Over the years, there are always different opinions among constructivists and positivists. But each has its own unique way of gathering and analyzing data. Neither constructivists nor positivists have claimed that their instruments are more reliable and valid than the other. The objective of each type of researcher is to achieve the same goal, i.e., to prepare a fruitful research for the welfare of the society [Robson, 2002; Creswell, 2011; Daniel, 2016]. Four distinct paradigms are related to social researches are: constructivism, critical theory, positivism and postpositivism. Constructivism and critical theory are related to qualitative research, while positivism and postpositivism are related to quantitative research [Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Daniel, 2016].

 Sir Isaac Newton, Henry de Saint-Simon, Auguste Comte, Karl Popper, etc. scholars contributed the positivistic idea of the absolute truth of knowledge in research. French philosopher Auguste Comte (1798-1857), the founder of positivism, adapted the methodology of the natural sciences (e.g., physics, biology, chemistry, etc.) for use in the social sciences and called his theory “positivism”. Positivism is defined as a scientific methodology that aims to reach the laws of human behavior and social life [Kincheloe & Tobin, 2009]. Positivistic researches are founded on a belief that the study of human behavior should be conducted in the same way as studies conducted in the natural sciences [Collis & Hussey, 2009].

 Positivism is a position in the philosophy of science that emphasizes the importance of observation for the growth of knowledge. The main purpose of positivism is to reach objective truth, facts, and laws. In the positivist belief, there is a truth that science can observe, measure, and describe. Positivist research advances through research proving or supportinga hypothesis [Popper, 2005]. Positivism seeks universal laws that govern behavior, and argues an objective external reality can be accurately and thoroughly understood. The positivists belief that there is a truth that science can observe, measure, and describe [Park et al., 2020]. A positivist emphasizes quantitative methods, while a postpositivist considers both quantitative and qualitative methods to be valid approaches [Popper, 1963]. Historians identify two types of positivism: classical positivism that is an empirical tradition, and logical positivism that is a most strongly associated with the Vienna Circle [Alexander, 1995]. Positivism is widely applied in the natural sciences, where empirical observation is used to make theories and models [Fox, 2008].

 Positivistic attempts seek to identify, measure, and evaluate any phenomena and provide rational explanation for it that establish causal links and relationships between the different variables of the subject and relate them to a particular theory [Collis & Hussey, 2009]. The positivist approach is acquired its methods from social and education research and consists of the following steps [Xining, 2002]: i) creating an hypothesis, ii) establishing variables (sampling) and measurement devices, iii) data collection, iv) data analysis, and v) conclusion. The quantitative approach has its origin in positivistic epistemology, which is an approach to the study of people which commend the application of the scientific method [Bryman, 2012].

 The word “postpositivism” is named for the first time by Denis Charles Phillips [Phillips & Burbules, 2000]. Robert Dubin describes the basic components of a postpositivist theory [Zammito, 2004]. Famous philosophers Karl Popper, Willard Van Orman Quine, and Thomas Kuhn have been highly influential, and led to the development of postpositivism. It is a methatheoretical stance that critiques and amends positivism. Postpositivists argue that theories, hypotheses, background knowledge and values of the researcher can influence by the observational data. According to postpositivism, the world works according to fixed laws of cause and effect [Phillips & Burbules, 2000]. For postpositivists, science is slow, progressive, iterative, theory refining, and characterized by attempts to advance through proving a theory wrong or incomplete. A postpositivist believes in a single objective, external, tangible, measurable reality, adopting a perspective aligned with scientific realism but truth or the understanding of reality remains incomplete or probabilistic [Letourneau & Allen, 1999]. The key elements of postpositivistic knowledge are [Creswell, 2011]: i) determinism, ii) theory verification, iii) empirical observation and measurement, and iv) reductionism (reality is reduced to small elements, such as variables, hypotheses, research questions, etc.).

 Constructivism is a theory in education that recognizes the learners’ understanding and knowledge based on their own experiences prior to entering school [Nola & Irzik, 2006]. It emphasizes the importance of the knowledge, beliefs, and skills for learning and research. It indicates that people construct their understanding and knowledge of the world through experiencing things and reflecting on those experiences [Garbett, 2011]. Jean Piaget is the founder of constructivism. He has identified impressionable and developmental aspects of human thought processes. Karl Mannheim first time thinks on constructivism (interpretive), and later has been elaborated on in the works of Yvonna Sessions Lincoln and Egon G. Guba [Lincoln & Guba, 1985].

 Constructivism indicates that knowledge is created by people through the interpretation and understanding of phenomena in social and historical perspectives. It is typically seen as an approach to qualitative research. Social constructivism is developed by Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky who argued that learning is a social and collaborative activity where people create meaning through their interactions among them [Aina, 2017]. Social constructivists believe that individuals seek understanding of the world in which they live and work. The main elements of constructivist thinking are [Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Creswell, 2011]: i) understanding, ii) multiple participant meanings, iii) social and historical construction, iv) inductive reasoning, and v) theory generation.

1. **Quantitative Research Design**

 A *hypothesis* is a tentative explanation that accounts for a set of facts and can be tested by further investigation. Quantitative researchers design studies that allow testing the hypotheses. There are three kinds of variables in quantitative research: i) dependent variables, ii) independent variables, iii) extraneous or confounding variables. The variables that are hypothesized to depend on or be caused by other variables, i.e., monitors how subjects react by measuring a response in one or more outcome measures are dependent variables, the variables that are believed to be the cause or influence, i.e., the researcher manipulates one or more of the variables, are independent variables, and the variables that are confuse or confound the relationship between the dependent and independent variables are extraneous variables. Dependent variables are influenced by one or more independent variables. For example, in healthcare wound healing is dependent variable, type of dressing is independent variable, and patient age and presence of diabetes mellitus is extraneous/confounding variables. Smoking is the independent variable and lung cancer is the dependent variable [White & Millar, 2014]. When variables are clearly defined and numerical data are prepared, and then we can use quantitative research properly, i.e., it tests objective theories by examining the relationship among variables [Polit & Hungler, 2013].

1. **Steps of Quantitative Research**

 The quantitative research process generally consists of five steps to perform the research efficiently as [Swanson & Holton, 2005; Kumar, 2011]: Formulating a research problem is the first and most important step in the research process. It identifies the destination of a researcher [Kumar, 2011]. It determines the basic questions that the researcher intends to answer with the research study. These questions describe factors or variables of interest to the researcher [Swanson & Holton, 2005]. In the second step the researcher determines the human participants in the study, which capitalizes on the advantage of using statistics to make inferences about larger groups using very small samples [Cooper & Schindler, 2008]. In the third step, the researcher tries to select methods to answer questions, identifies variables, measures, and the research design to use in formulating specific research questions, methods, and participants of the study [Warfield, 2013]. In the fourth step, the researcher selects statistical analysis tools for analyzing the collected data. In the statistical analyses, the researcher determines how the variables describe, compare, associate, predict, and contribute to explain the analysis results and to answer the propositions of the study [Cooper & Schindler, 2008]. In the fifth step, the researcher perform the interpretation of the results of the analysis based on the statistical significance determined [Swanson & Holton, 2005].

 These five steps are used in quantitative research for generalization to the entire population for the coding of observations to accurate measurements. Then statistical methodologies are used to include activities that researchers want to conclude operation [Warfield, 2013].

1. **Types of Quantitative Research**

 The quantitative research can be classified as [Cohen et al., 2000; Leedy & Ormrod, 2001; Borbasi & Jackson 2012]: i) experimental, and ii) non-experimental research.

**8.1 Experimental Research**

 Experimental research is the most familiar type of research design for individuals in the physical sciences and some other related fields. It tries to reduce all kinds of biases as much as possible [Nunan, 1992]. It indicates that how the observations or measurements should be obtained to answer a query in a valid, efficient and economical way. It is referred to a hypothesis testing or a deductive research method. It seeks to determine a relationship between a dependent and an independent variable. The results of it are not known in advance. It is the process of planning a study to meet specified objectives. Here one or more independent variables are manipulated and applied to one or more dependent variables to measure their effects on the latter. In this type of research the researchers design the specific conditions to test their theories or propositions, controlling the experiment and collecting their data to isolate the relationships between their defined independent variables and dependent variables [Swanson & Holton, 2005]. It consists of making an observable and quantifiable change in the independent variable, and then observing how that affects the dependent variables [Leedy & Ormrod, 2001]. During the experimental research, the researcher investigates the treatment of an intervention into the study group and then measures the outcomes of the treatment. Experiments consist of making an observable and quantifiable change in one variable (the independent variable) and then observing how that affects other variables (the dependent variables) [Chen, 2011].

 The goal of it is to test hypothesis to establish cause and affect relationships [Ary et al., 2010]. Some advantages of experimental research are [Mildner, 2019]: It controls the independent variables. It is straightforward determination of causal relationships, possibility of verifying results through repeatability/replicability, and the opportunity to create conditions that are not easily observed in nat­ural settings or would take too long. Some disadvantages of experimental research are [Mildner, 2019]: It is unnaturalness; difficulty to apply the results to real-life situations, and ethical considerations. It cannot be applied to all types of research problems, and results may appear significant because of experimenter error or the inability to control for all extraneous variables. There are three types of exploratory approaches: pre-experimental, true experimental and quasi-experimental[Leedy & Ormrod, 2001; Ary et al., 2010].

 The pre-experimental research involves an independent variable that does not vary or a control group that is not randomly selected. It follows pre-test and post-test to see the result of the treatment but fails to include a control group [Campbell & Stanley, 1963; Ary et al., 2010].

 The true experimental approach provides a higher degree of control in the experiment and produces a higher degree of validity. It is first described by D. T. Campbell [Campbell, 1957]. It is a systemic approach to quantitative data collection involving mathematical models in the analyses [Campbell & Stanley, 1963]. It examines the cause and effect relationships between independent and dependent variables under highly controlled conditions. It has both pre- and post- tests, experimental and control groups, and random assignments of subjects [Nunan, 1992]. It must enable the researcher to maintain control over the situation in terms of assignment of subjects to groups, in terms of who gets the treatment condition, and in terms of the amount of treatment condition that subjects receive [Christensen, 1988].

 The word “quasi” means partial, half, or pseudo. The quasi-experimental design involves non-random selection of study participants, where control is limited and true experimentation is impossible or difficult [Harris et al., 2004]. It has both pre- and post-test and experimental and control groups, but no random assignments of subjects. Since the variable cannot be controlled, validity may be sacrificed [Campbell & Stanley, 1963]. For example, two sick people of the same age and same physical structure are given same antibiotic, and one of them given an additional antibiotic with the common antibiotic. After seven days the two patients are examined, and their health condition is measured. The lack of random assignment is the major weakness of the quasi-experimental research. Statistical association does not imply causal association if the study is poorly designed [Harris et al., 2004].

**8.2 Non-Experimental Research**

It lacks the manipulation of an independent variable, random assignment a researcher simply measure variables as they occur. Non-experimental research is divided into descriptive, causal comparative, evaluation, existing data, meta-analysis, etc. approaches.

**8.2.1 Descriptive Research**

 Quantitative research methods fall under the broad heading of descriptive research. It is used when little is known about a particular phenomenon [Walker, 2005]. Descriptive research is widely used in education, epidemiology, nutrition, and the behavioral sciences. It tries to gather information about prevailing situations for the purpose of description and interpretation [Aggarwal, 2008]. It defines the research aspects viz., who, what, where, when, why and sometimes how of the research which should be thought of as a mean to an end rather than an end, itself [Yin, 1994]. The goal of this type of research is to identify and describe trends and variations in populations, creates new measures of key phenomena, or describes samples in studies aimed at identifying causal effects [Loeb et al., 2017].

 The descriptive research depicts an accurate profile of people, events or situations [Robson, 2002]. It refers to the type of research question, design, and data analysis that will be applied to a given topic. It examines a phenomenon that is occurring at a specific place and time. It is concerned with conditions, practices, structures, differences or relationships that exist, opinions held processes that are evident. It gathers and analyzes empirical data, and then organizes, tabulates, depicts, and describes the data collection and attempts to develop knowledge [Glass & Hopkins, 1984; Best & Kahn, 2007].

 It can be a statistical research and tries to study frequencies, averages, and other statistical calculations. It generates data, both qualitative and quantitative, that define the state of nature at a point in time. It attempts to describe, explain and interpret conditions of the present [**Koh** & **Owen**,2000].

 It is a basic research method that examines the current situation. It identifies the characteristics of an observed phenomenon, or explores correlations between two or more entities, and nothing is controlled or manipulated. In this type of research, a researcher is able to collect a large amount of data. It cannot be used as the basis of a causal relationship where one variable affects another. It is sometimes contrasted with hypothesis driven research, which is focused on testing a particular hypothesis by means of experimentation [Casadevall & Fang, 2008]. The three types of descriptive research are: observation studies, correlational research, and survey research.

 **Observation Studies:** Observation study is one of the most important research methods in natural and social sciences, and has been used for collecting data about people, processes, and cultures in qualitative research. It is used for referring several different types of non-experimental studies in which behavior is systematically observed and recorded. It is an ethnographic research method and it seems that there is no specific beginning of it. But some researchers stated that use of it was started in the late 19th and beginning of the 20th centuries [Baker, 2006]. Greek philosopher Aristotle used observational techniques in his botanical studies on the island of Lesbos. Auguste Comte (1798–1857), the father of sociology, listed observation as one of the four core research methods [Adler & Adler, 1994]. Observation is a preplanned research tool which is carried out purposefully to serve research questions and objectives. It is related to positivist research [Angrosino, 2005]. It enables the researcher to combine it with questionnaires and interviews to collect objective information [Johnson & Turner, 2003]. Observation studies include the systematic recording of observable phenomena [Gorman & Clayton, 2005].

 Observation study is the least intrusive data collection method and can be an abuse of an individual’s privacy [Adler & Adler, 1994]. Observation data is collected by naturalistic enquiry using a structured, unstructured or semi-structured approach [Fry et al., 2017]. An individual’s privacy cannot be abused and a researcher must be unbiased during data collection. It is often criticized for lacking reliability [Adler & Adler, 1994]. It is time-consuming, costly; practically challenging and a variety of techniques are used to collect data. For the collection of data a researcher needs specialized training on how to observe, what and how to record the data, how to enter the field and leave it, length of time in the field, sampling and data collection techniques, etc. Hence it is a complex and challenging research method [Baker, 2006].

 Direct observation is called gold standard among qualitative data collection techniques [Murphy & Dingwall, 2007]. Observation data collection can improve understanding of practice, processes, knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes embedded in social interactions [Fry et al., 2017].

 Some advantages of observational research are as follows [Foster, 2006]:

* research information can be revealed carefully, planned observation by a researcher over a period of time,
* observational data seem more accurate,
* the observer sees but participants cannot,
* observation can provide information of those who cannot speak (e.g., babies, very young children and animals), and
* data collected from observation can check on, and supplement to, information obtained from other sources.

 There are some limitations to observational research method as follows [Foster, 2006]:

* behavior of interest may be inaccessible and observation may simply be impossible if observation not usually permit,
* people sometimes consciously or unconsciously provide inaccurate information,
* researcher’s preconceptions and existing knowledge will bias observation, and
* it is very time consuming and costly.

 **Correlational Research:** The term ‘correlation’ is a common and useful statistical concept applied in research. Francis Galton (1822–1911) for the first time provided the idea of correlation in 1898 but Karl Pearson (1857–1936) developed and promoted it as scientific concept of universal significance [Aldrick, 1995]. It is a type of quantitative research method within the positivism paradigm. Mainly three types of correlational research have been identified:positive correlation, negative correlation, and no correlation research [Anderson & Arsenault, 1998].

 Correlational research describes what exists at the moment. It examines differences of characteristics or correlate two or more variables. In this type of research there is no manipulation of variables [Queirós et al., 2017]. In quantitative research it includes explaining phenomena by collecting numerical data that are analyzed using mathematically based statistical methods [Asamoah, 2014]. It is a type of non-experimental, backward-looking, and dynamic research where the researcher employs the data derived from preexisting variables. It provides an evaluation of strength and direction of relationship among variables. The goal of correlational research is to describe the relationship between variables and to measure the strength of the relationship [Ary et al., 2010].

 A researcher will gather data to determine whether, and to what extent about two or more quantifiable variables in a particular group. These data are numbers that reflect measurement of the characteristics of research questions [Williams, 2007]. It plays an important role in the development and testing of theoretical models. The disadvantage of this research is that it just provides a relationship among variables; no calculations can be prepared regarding causality [Samuel & Okey, 2015].

 **Survey Research:** Among many types of quantitative research, survey research is very popular in the natural and social sciences, which includes questionnaires, personal interviews, phone surveys, and normative surveys. In a country, survey is conducted for the economic, social, political, and cultural shape [Bethlehem, 2009]. It is the systematic gathering of information from respondents for the purpose of understanding and predicting some aspects of the behavior of the population of interest. The survey research was invented by Paul Lazarsfeld, George Gallup and Hadley Cantril [Glasow, 2005; Sukamolson, 2007]. It focuses on people, the vital facts of people, their beliefs, opinions, attitudes, motivations and behavior [Kerlinger & Lee, 2000].

 Survey research is a study on large and small populations by selecting samples chosen from the desired population and to discover relative incidence, distribution and interrelations [Kerlinger & Lee, 2000]. It provides an important source of basic scientific knowledge. It uses scientific sampling and questionnaire design to measure characteristics of the population with statistical precision [Sukamolson, 2007]. It is the best method of data collection, when the researcher is interested in collecting original data for a population that is too big to test directly [Babbie, 2001].

 Generally many researchers conduct survey studies, such as economists for income and expenditure patterns among households, educationists for factors influencing academic performance, health professionals for the implications of health problems on people's lives, psychologists for the roots of ethnic or racial prejudice, political scientists for comparative voting behavior, sociologists for the effects on family life of women working outside the home, etc. [de Leeuw et al., 2008; Kothari, 2008; Creswell, 2011]. It is the most common type of descriptive research in dietetic, nutrition, and health areas, which involves asking questions of a sample of individuals who are representative of the group or groups being studied [**Koh** & **Owen**,2000].

 The ultimate goal of survey research is to learn about a large population by surveying a sample of the population. In this method, a researcher poses a series of questions to the respondents, summarizes their responses in percentages, frequency distribution and some other statistical approaches. It is concerned with sampling, questionnaire design, questionnaire administration and data analysis. Survey research typically employs face-to-face personal interviews, telephone interviews, panel, observations, e-mail and internet interviews, or the common approach using questionnaires [McClosky, 1969; Mathers et al., 2009; Mathiyazhagan & Nandan, 2010].

 In survey research biases may occur and respondents may have difficulty assessing their own behavior. It is unsuitable where an understanding of the historical context of phenomena is required [Pinsonneault & Kraemer, 1993]. Survey does not show exact measurements; only provide estimates for the true population [Salant & Dillman, 1994]. There are two types of survey: cross-sectional survey and longitudinal survey. The key difference between them is that first one occurs once whereas the latter takes place on multiple occasions over time [Lynn, 2009].

 **Cross-Sectional Survey:** A survey which is carried out at a just one-point in time or over a short period is known as cross-sectional or prevalence study. It is a type of observational study design. It is selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria set for the study. It is used for population based surveys and to assess the prevalence of diseases in clinic based samples [Ross & Vaughan, 1986; Setia, 2016]. It provides a snapshot of what is happening in that group at that particular time. It is used if the study is descriptive and survey related. It sometimes investigates associations between risk factors and the outcome of interest [Levin, 2006]. It tells us what people are thinking or doing at one point in time. It is useful in assessing practices, attitudes, knowledge and beliefs of a population in relation to a particular health related event [Buck, 2008]. If the research needs a pool of opinions and practices, a cross-sectional survey would be appropriate and collects information from a sample drawn from a population at one point of time. The period of data collection can vary and it depends on the study weight age [Ross & Vaughan, 1986; Mathers et al., 2009].

 Advantages of it are [Levin, 2006; Setia, 2016]: It is relatively inexpensive and usually be conducted relatively faster, there is no loss to follow-up, many outcomes and risk factors can be assessed. It is useful for public health planning, monitoring, and evaluation. Disadvantages of it are [Levin, 2006; Setia, 2016]: It is difficult to make causal inference, only a snapshot is provided different results may happen another time. It is prone to incidence bias.

 **Longitudinal Survey:** In 1759, Gueneau de Montbeillard first has recorded the longitudinal study, but in the1920s the study has advanced when a monumental work was undertaken by Lewis M. Terman of Stanford University to study the developmental histories of gifted children [Buffon, 1837; Rajulton, 2001]. A longitudinal surveyrather than taking a snapshot, paints a picture of events or attitudes over prolonged periods of time, often years or decades. It is used in social science, natural science and health research (e.g., clinical pathology, public health, child development, adolescent psychosocial development, genetic classification, epidemiological case registers, etc.) to study rapid fluctuations in behaviors, thoughts, and emotions from moment to moment in processes in human and technical systems. It makes observing changes more accurate and is applied in various other fields [Venkatesh & Vitalari, 1991; Carlson et al., 2009]. Organizational science researchers apply it to understand changes in organizational structure, causal mechanisms, and organizational adaptation [Tuma & Hannan, 1984].

 If a researcher specifies the objective as to compare differences in opinion and practices over time, a longitudinal survey would be the ideal method and data collection is done at different points of time to observe the changes [Mathers et al., 2009]. Length of longitudinal study periods varies along six parameters: length of study, number of data collection periods, duration between data collection efforts, method of data collection, research objectives, and unit of analysis [Venkatesh & Vitalari, 1991].

 Few advantages of longitudinal studies are [Lynn, 2009; Caruana et al., 2015]: It establishes sequence of events, excludes recall bias in participants, tries to identify and relate events to particular exposures, and identifies change over time in particular individuals within the group. It enables researchers to identify patterns of change. It allows for the measure of stability or instability and the identification of causality. Few disadvantages of longitudinal studies are [Lynn, 2009; Caruana et al., 2015]: It is difficult in separation of the reciprocal impact of exposure and outcome, incomplete and interrupted follow-up of individuals may arise over time. It increases temporal and financial demands and difficulty in separation of the reciprocal impact of exposure and outcome. It continuously may losses respondents from the sample due to deaths, moving, and withdrawing from the research. Coverage errorsmay occur when there is a gap between the sampling frame and total population, which increase with time. Three common types of longitudinal surveys are: cohort studies, panel studies, and trend studies. Panel and cohort studies are similar in that they track the same units over a period of time [Venkatesh & Vitalari, 1991].

 **Cohort Studies:** The word “cohort” has its origin in the Latin *cohors,* referring to warriors of 300–600-man unit in the Roman army [Samet & Munoz, 1998; Grimes & Schulz, 2002]. The history of the cohort study was in a 1988 review by F. D. K. Liddell and in papers published from a 1983 American Cancer Society workshop on cohort studies. These researchers first discussed the terminology, the definitions, and the evolution of the cohort design [Liddell, 1988; NIH, 1997]. A cohort study is more difficult to carry out than a trend survey. Because after a long time some participants move house, some fall ill and die, and some just refuse to participate [Mathers et al., 2009].

 Cohort studyis the aggregate of individuals who experienced the same life event within the same time interval. In this study the cohort is closed against new entries because such entries are impossible [Ruspini, 2002]. It tracks two or more groups forward from exposure to outcome. It provides the best way to make sure both the incidence and natural history of a disorder [Hulley et al., 2001]. Here a researcher specifies population and lists the names of all members of this population. At each data collection point, a researcher will select a sample of respondents from the population of subordinates doing security audit and penetration testing and administer a questionnaire. This is then repeated at another point of time. It is particularly useful in tracking the progress of particular conditions over time, on the order of from 5 to 25 years or longer [Venkatesh & Vitalari, 1991].

 It has the accuracy of data collection with regard to exposures, confounders, and endpoints. Itis useful to investigate multiple outcomes that might arise after a single or multiple exposures in one cohort. For example, cigarette smoking (the exposure) can cause emphysema, oral cancer, stroke, and heart disease (the outcomes). In cohort studies, hypothesis can be generated [Grimes & Schulz, 2002; Euser et al., 2009]. It also reduces the risk of survivor bias; consequently itis less biased. Its time-order is generally clear. It allows calculation of incidence rates, relative risks, and confidence intervals. It has also some limitations, such as selection bias is built, generally require large samples, not possible to establish causal effects, loss to follow-up can be a difficulty, partitioning might be needed to avoid a blurring of exposure, sometimes termed contamination [Hulley et al., 2001; Grimes & Schulz, 2002; Euser et al., 2009].

 **Panel Studies:** The history of panel research begins in 1759, when Count Philibert Guéneau du Montbeillard began recording his son’s stature every six months from birth to age 18 [Baltes & Nesselroade, 1979). Modern panel research was established in the 1930s, when several classic studies of human growth and development began [Bogin, 1999]. The use of panel data was first introduced by F. Lazarsfeld in the 1940s in an analysis of public opinion, using market research gathered over time [Andreß, 2017]. In the last 60 years, panel study has increased in the social, life, medical, and public-health sciences on firms, countries, or other entities. It enhances our understanding in globalization, trans-nationalism, migration, and development of political-economic structures, acculturation, and intergenerational transmission of culture [Gravlee et al., 2009].

 Panel survey provides an efficient and cost-effective means to measure changing behaviors and attitudes over time. It suggests that individuals, firms, states or countries are heterogeneous. It has the large-scale research facilities for research on human behavior and attitudes in real-life settings [Andreß, 2017]. It is a method of direct extension of a questionnaire or interview survey and data are collected from the same people at two or more points in time. It is usually construed to be a short-term usually one to five years duration [Venkatesh & Vitalari, 1991]. Remarkable panel studies have been conducted prior to 1970, but a major development has been made in the 1970s in the USA. Several other Western nations analyzed panel studies in large-scale in the 1980s [Duncan et al., 1987].

 It generally samples the whole population rather than single years of age to understand the dynamics of change of the whole population, and its evolution over the lifetime of the study [Buck, 2008]. Here a researcher can identify a sample from the beginning and follow the respondents over a specified period of time to observe changes in specific respondents and highlight the reasons why these respondents have changed [Mathiyazhagan & Nandan, 2010]. In fixed panel study data is collected from the same units on multiple occasions. Only deaths or emigration reduces the size of the eligible sample. Repeated panel study involves a series of panel surveys, which may or may not overlap in time. Split panel involves a combination of cross-sectional and panel sample at each wave of the study [Buck, 2008].

 Advantages of panel surveys are: It is analytically strong and provides an opportunity to link macro-micro issues. It constructs and tests more complicated behavioral models than purely cross-section data. It analyzes information collected on individuals and households repeatedly over time. It provides more informative data, more variability, less co-linearity among the variables, more degrees of freedom and more efficiency. It allows researchers to track changes in individual’s attitudes and behaviors over time if they wish to. It is better able to study the dynamics of adjustment. It encourages researchers to collect a considerable amount of information on the participants [Greaves, 2017; Andreß, 2017].

 Disadvantages of panel surveys are: It is costly and complex. It takes a long time for results to become available. It takes a long-term investment that requires considerable financial and human resources. Over time reduces sample size and can result in biased inferences. Interviews in earlier waves may influence interviews in subsequent waves; consequently the actual value of a variable may change. Measurement errors may arise due to faulty responses of unclear questions, memory errors, deliberate distortion of responses, inappropriate informants misreporting of responses, and interviewer effects [Lynn, 2009; Andreß, 2017].

 **Trend studies:** Trend study is a transdisciplinary area that integrates concepts, perspectives, and methodologies from culture studies, anthropology, marketing, design, etc. It gathers data from a particular population characterized by a specific variable and different samples from a population whose members may change are surveyed at different points of time [Gomes et al., 2018]. It is a guiding policy, expressed in concepts, leading cultural relevance to the various spheres of society. The direction of it tends to move, and the effect of which focuses on culture, society or the business sector in which it develops. It can generate scenarios on society's evolution encompassing activities, attitudes, behaviors and social concerns [Rech, 2016]. It is a forecast of something that will happen in a certain way and will be accepted by most people. It is an essential part of the emotional, physical and psychological environment of the human being [Vejlgaard, 2008; Gomes et al., 2018]. Trends are driven according to basic needs, drivers of change and innovations [Mason et al., 2015].

 It takes repeated samples of different people each time but always use the same core questions. It set out to measure trends in public opinion and behavior over time. It reveals the current situation of a specific research subject in a specific field by focusing on research topics, methodological approach, theoretical framework, etc. about that research subject [Ertem-Eray, 2019]. It focuses more on “why” rather than on “what”. It is based on two foundations: cultural and commercial. It can generate scenarios on society’s evolution, encompassing activities, attitudes, behaviors and social concerns [Rech, 2016]. In addition to consumer trends, the other areas are: social, political and economic; industry; new product categories; macro trends; fashion; and futurism [Mason et al., 2015].

**8.2.2 Causal-Comparative** **Research**

 The term causal-comparative appears to have originated in the early 20th century. It always starts with observed effects and seeks to discover the antecedents of these effects [Good et al. 1935]. Here the researcher investigates how the independent variables are reflected by the dependent variables and involves cause and effect relationships between the variables. It attempts to determine reasons, or causes, for the existing condition [Gay, 1996]. It is also called “ex post facto or after the fact” research; as there is no manipulation of conditions due to the presumed cause has already occurred among groups of individuals before the study is initiated [Kerlinger & Lee, 20003]. It is sometimes treated as a type of descriptive research since it describes conditions that already exist. It is regularly used in education studies when experimentation is not possible [McMillan & Schumacher, 2009]. It discover the possible causes and effects of a personal characteristics by comparing individuals displaying the particular behavior pattern with individuals who do not display the behavior pattern [Borg & Gall, 1989].

 It provides the researcher the opportunity to examine the interaction between independent variables and their influence on dependent variables. It is a form of study that tries to identify and determine the cause and effect of the relationship between two or more groups. It is less costly and time-consuming to conduct, flexible by nature, and researcher has little to no control over independent variables [Fraenkel & Wallen, 1996].

 There are two types of causal-comparative research: Retrospective and prospective causal-comparative research. In retrospective causal-comparative research a researcher starts to investigate a precise problem when the effects have previously happened and the researcher endeavors to determine if one variable might have prejudiced another variable. In prospective causal-comparative research a researcher starts a study beginning with the causes and is resolute to evaluate the effects of a situation. The former is more common than the latter [Gay et al., 2006].

 Some advantages of causal-comparative research are [Krathwohl, 1993; Fraenkel & Wallen, 1996; McMillan & Schumacher, 2009]: It shows a correlation where more rigorous experimentation is not possible, useful to avoid artificial in the research, and shows cause and effect relationships. Some disadvantages of causal-comparative research are [Krathwohl, 1993; Fraenkel & Wallen, 1996; McMillan & Schumacher, 2009]: It has lack of control for independent variable and randomizing subjects, may be regarded as too flexible, never certain if causative factor has been included or identified, and relationship between two factors does not establish cause and effect.

**8.2.3 Evaluation Research**

 Evaluation research is the standard social research method and systematic measurement of the outcomes of a program to improve the quality of policy [Weiss, 1998]. As an analytical tool it investigates a policy program to obtain all information pertinent to the assessment of its performance, both process and result. It serves as the basis for negotiating a study commission, project contract, and/or conducting the actual evaluation research study [Wollmann, 2003].

 Evaluation research is appropriate whenever some social intervention occurs or is planned. It is the systematic assessment of the worth of time, money, effort and resources spent in order to achieve a goal. It enhances knowledge and decision-making, and leads to practical applications. It is a form of applied research intended to have some real world effect. To conduct evaluation research, researchers must be able to operate, observe, and measure. Recently evaluation research has become an increasingly popular and active research specialty, as reflected in textbooks, courses, and projects [Fischer et al., 2006].

 It is important to enhance the success of activities, to see how well we are meeting objectives and goals, how the program benefits the community and to provide evidence of effectiveness, to build capacity increase funding, enhance skills, strengthen accountability [Levine et al., 1981]. There are two types of evaluation research [Wollmann, 2003]: internal and external evaluation.Internalevaluation is carried out in-houseby the operating agency itself and it takes place as self-evaluation. External evaluation is initiated or funded by outside sources. It is placed by institutions and actors that are outside and beyond administration.

**8.2.4 Existing Data Research**

 The data were not created specifically by the researcher for the particular projects in which these will be used are known as existing data. In existing data research, the researcher uses data, documents, records, etc. that were in existence prior to any data collection on the part of the researcher [Cooke & Theodore, 2013]. Existing data can be private or public. Over the last 20 years, a vast amount of data has been collected, compiled, archived, analyzed, and shared due to technological advances which are easily accessible for research [Doolan et al., 2017]. Day by day research with existing data is increasing all over the world. Low- and middle- income countries can use existing data if various research funding are limited [Smith et al. 2011; Cheng & Phillips, 2014].

 Some reliable sources of existing data are [Cheng & Phillips, 2014; Doolan et al., 2017]: The World Health Organization (WHO) Global Health Observatory Data Repository, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, the Mayo Clinic, National Center for Education Statistics, Health Metrics and Evaluation at the University of Washington in the United States, Science and Engineering Statistics, Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research ((ICPSR), the United States Census Bureau, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, California Health Interview Survey, National Health & Nutrition Examination Survey, the United States Census, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, etc. These global originations provide dependable statistical data to the advanced researchers.

 The major advantages of existing data analysis are [Doolan & Froelicher, 2009; Smith et al. 2011]: Since someone else has already collected the data, the researcher does not have to devote financial resources to the collection of data, consequently saves time. These are the cost-effectiveness and convenience these provide. When good existing data are available, researchers can gain access to and utilize high quality reliable larger datasets for empirical research. These can accelerate the pace of research. These provide many opportunities for future research through replication, reanalysis and reinterpretation of existing research. The limitations of existing data are [Smith et al. 2011; Johnston, 2014]: The secondary researcher did not participate in the data collection process and does not know exactly how it was conducted. If the existing data are affected by problems, research with these will be weaker, some cases will be meaningless. Potential errors may occur in selection and sampling with these data. Outdated existing data gave wrong information and provide a lack of fit between one set of data and another.

**8.2.5 Meta-Analysis**

 The word “meta” comes from Greek to mean ‘after’ or ‘beyond’ and meta-analysis means an “analysis of analyses”. A meta-analysis is a statistical analysis technique that combines the review results of quantitative studies in the precision of multiple scientific studies. It focuses on relations between study features and outcomes. It is a sophisticated tool for decision makers. It tries to determine how study features influence effect sizes [Glass, 1976; Borenstein et al., 2016].

 The historical roots of meta-analysis can be traced back to 17th century studies of astronomy. It is believed that the first meta-analysis study was conducted by Karl Pearson in 1904 when he attempted to synthesize the independent vaccine studies concerning typhoid [Littel et al., 2008]. Although meta-analysis is widely used in epidemiology and evidence-based medicine today, a meta-analysis of a medical treatment was not published until 1955 [Plackett, 1958; Glass & Smith, 1979]. The term “meta-analysis” was coined in 1976 by the statistician Gene V. Glass to describe the statistical combination of data from multiple studies [Glass, 1976].  After the 1980s, scientists began to develop meta-analysis [Cooper, 1998]

 It provides a more objective appraisal of the evidence than narrative review, and attempts to minimize bias by utilizing a methodological approach. It is based on mathematical and statistical rules [Egger et al., 1997; Lee, 2019]. It is not controlled over timing of studies and size of studies. It is not a hypothesis-testing activity, and cannot reasonably be used to establish the reality of a reputed hazard or treatment [Charlton, 1996].

 A fixed effect meta-analysis assumes that there is one true intervention effect. Variation between studies is purely due to chance. A random-effects meta-analysis does not assume that there is one true effect [Hunter & Schmidt, 2004].

 Some strengths of meta-analysis are [Noble, 2006; Finckh & Tramèr, 2008; Lee, 2019]: It overcomes small sample sizes of individual studies to detect the effects of interest and problems of traditional narrative reviews, increases statistical power, generalizability of results and precision in estimating effects, and reduces the risk of false-negative results. It summarizes and quantifies results from individual studies, analyzes differences in the results of various studies, generates new hypotheses for further studies.

 Some weaknesses of meta-analysis are [Noble, 2006; Finckh & Tramèr, 2008; Lee, 2019]: It cannot overcome subjectivity. It includes only published studies, it may overestimate the actual magnitude of an effect, i.e., it may be publication bias. Summarizing large amounts of varying information using a single number is a controversial aspect of it. It only deals with main effects, and potential disagreement with findings of randomized trials. If it includes low-quality studies, its results will be biased and incorrect. It is homogenous in terms of populations, interventions, controls, and outcomes.

1. **Characteristics of Quantitative Research**

 Characteristics of quantitative research are associated with positive paradigm. A quantitative research approach is characterized as being structured with predetermined variables, hypotheses and design [Bryman, 2012; Creswell, 2011]. It employs the traditional, the positivist, the experimental, or the empiricist method to enquire into an identified problem [Smith,1975]. It is used to get answers in numerical form which makes relationship between an independent variable and a dependent variable within a large population. A numerical output is easy to read and understand, and it is easy to deduce a conclusion from the numerical outcome than a detailed result. Here the output is usually found in the form of graphs, range of numbers, statistical data, tables, and percentages, etc. to show trends, relationships, or differences among variables. In quantitative research data are collected by random sampling to ensure the accuracy, reliability and validity; consequently can avoid bias in the results. In quantitative research close-ended questionnaires are used whose answers are more specific and right than the open-ended questionnaires which are more detailed and scattered. Moreover, responses to the close-ended questionnaires are more reliable than the answers to open-ended questionnaires [Polit & Beck, 2017].

 Quantitative research has the following major characteristics [Brink & Wood, 1998; Burns & Grove, 2005]:

* All aspects of the study are carefully designed before quantitative research data collection.
* Data are collected in the form of numbers and statistics, often arranged in tables, charts, figures, percentage, or other non-textual forms. A numerical output is easy to read and understand, and deduce a meaningful conclusion than a detailed result.
* The data are usually collected using structured research modern instruments, such as questionnaires or computer software are used to collect numerical data.
* Statistical analysis is conducted to reduce and organize data, determine significant relationships and identify differences or similarities within and between different categories of data.
* Data gathering instruments contain items that solicit measurable characteristics of the population (e.g., age, the number of children, educational status, economic status, etc.).
* The results are based on larger sample sizes that are representative of the population.
* It is usually concise.
* It provides an accurate account of characteristics of particular individuals, situations, or groups.
* It emphasis on the procedures of comparing groups or relating factors about individuals or groups in experiments, correlational studies, and surveys.
* Standardized, pre-tested instruments guide data collection ensures the accuracy, quite reliability as participants of the research face close-ended questions, and high validity of data for repeated research study.
* Moreover, the outcome of quantitative research is easy to understand and explain.
1. **Strengths of Quantitative Research**

 Quantitative research applies statistical tests, such as mean, median, and standard deviation, t-tests, multiple regression correlations (MRC), analysis of variances (ANOVAs), etc. Quantitative data are usually collected by surveys from large numbers of respondents randomly selected for inclusion. Sometimes secondary data, such as government statistics, census data, health system metrics, etc. are included in quantitative research. Some strengths ofquantitative research are as follows [Walker, 2005; Atieno, 2009; Choy 2014]:

* Results from sample surveys can be generalized for entire populations.
* Relatively easy to analyze.
* Results can be aggregated and are comparable across population groups.
* Results can be broken down by socio-economic group for comparisons.
* Findings can be generalized if selection process is well-designed and sample is representative of study population.
* Reliability of data and findings provides powerful indicators to guide policy.
* Replicability publication of questionnaires and dataset permits scrutiny of findings.
* Transferability of dataset to other analysts means that analysis is not dependent on availability of an individual.
* Data can be very consistent, precise and reliable.
* Precise professional or disciplinary minimum standards exist for much survey work.
* Statistical methods mean that the analysis is often considered reliable.
* Appropriate for situations where systematic, standardized comparisons are needed.

1. **Weaknesses of Quantitative Research**

 No doubt quantitative research is strong enough but has some weaknesses. Some weaknesses ofquantitative research are as follows [Walker, 2005; Atieno, 2009; Choy 2014]:

* It sacrifices potentially useful information through process of aggregation.
* It provides useful data by placing households or events in discrete categories.
* It neglects intra-household processes and outcomes.
* It commonly under-reports on difficult issues, such as domestic violence and difficult to access individuals and households.
* Large amounts of the dataset are never used if the project is very expensive.
* Poorly trained enumerators can make mistakes and inadvertently influence responses.
* Enumerators may give false data.
* May give a false impression of homogeneity in a sample.
1. **Advantages of Quantitative Research**

 In quantitative research statistical, computational, mathematical techniques, etc. are applied, i.e., it looks at measurable, numerical relationships to obtain the accurate result. It is often seen as more accurate than qualitative research, which focuses on gathering non-numerical data [Bryman, 2012; Goertz & Mahoney, 2012]. In quantitative research the statistical package for social science (SPSS) are used and data are calculated and conducted by computer, it saved time and resources. Indeed it is scientific in nature and research findings are more reliable [Connolly, 2007]. Some advantages of quantitative research are as follows [Walker, 2005; Atieno, 2009; Choy 2014]:

* It requires careful experimental design and the ability for anyone to replicate both the test and the results.
* It allows the researcher to measure and analyze data.
* It strives to control for bias so that facts, instances, and phenomena can be understood in an objective way.
* It allows for statistical comparison between various groups.
* The data is considered quantifiable and usually generalizable to a larger population.
* Test hypotheses are used in experiments because of its ability to measure data using statistics.
* As statistical tests are appropriately use, less error occur during the research.
* Relationship between an independent and dependent variable is studied in detail, which is advantageous because the researcher is more objective about the findings of the research.
* It emphasizes large samples that can provide an overview of an area that can reveal patterns, inconsistencies, and so forth.
* It measures level of occurrence, actions, trends, etc.
* It can provide a clear, quantitative measure to be used for grants and proposals.
* It has precision, is definitive and standardized.
* It can be used when large quantities of data need to be collected.
* It indicates the extensiveness of attitudes held by people.

1. **Disadvantages of Quantitative Research**

 Qualitative research is very popular and strong but; it has also some disadvantages. It strictly follows statistical relationships that overlook broader themes and relationships. As a result, it has a risk of missing important information of research [Bryman, 2012; Goertz & Mahoney, 2012]. Some disadvantages of quantitative research are as follows [Atieno, 2009; Creswell, 2011; Choy 2014]:

* Results need to be calculated using Excel, Access, or SPSS, which may not always be accessible to a country program.
* It can be limited in its pursuit of concrete, statistical relationships.
* The bias occurs earlier in the process of quantitative research.
* The context of the study or experiment is ignored.
* It is difficult to understand context of a phenomenon.
* Related secondary data is sometimes not available.
* Data may not be robust enough to explain complex issues.
* It is time consuming. The larger the sample, the more time it takes to analyze the data and analyze results.
* It ignores a very important human element.
1. **Quantitative Evaluation**

 Quantitative evaluation criteria comprised of the combination of validity, reliability and generalizability. Validity is confirmed if results from a randomized sample with the assurance that conflict of interest have been minimized. Reliability is verified with an adequate sample size so that conclusions can be drawn with precision and accuracy [Mohajan, 2017]. Generalizability is of necessary as, it allows the results to be applied to the population at large [Morris & Burkett, 2011].

1. **Ethical Reflections**

 Ethics pertain to morally good or correct practice and avoid any harm that may emanate during the study. Ethics are essential in any research[Lillemoen & Pedersen, 2013]. Strength and integrity are useful for a successful quantitative research. These depend on how the researchers design their researches. Ethic is an important characteristic in any research, which is about professional regulations and codes of conduct that guide the researcher in his dealings with participants [Denzin & Lincoln, 2005].

 In any research respondents are assured that their names and the names of their organizations would be dealt with in the strictest confidence. Their trust would not be exploited for personal gain or benefit, by deceiving or betraying them in the research [Lubbe, 2003]. Researchers must “do no harm” as they collect data from someone and report findings to someone [Berg & Howard, 2012]. An ethical challenge happens where there are doubts, uncertainties or disagreements about what is morally good or correct practice and avoids any harm [Lillemoen & Pedersen, 2013].

 Sometimesresearchers do not follow the ethical characteristics during this type of research. For example,during World War II, Nazi scientists conducted some experiments, such as immersing people in ice water to know how long it would take them to freeze into death. They also injected prisoners with newly developed drugs to know their effectiveness; consequently many died in the process, which are unethical and inhumane [Christensen, 1988].

 According to William Lawrence Neuman “*Ethics begins and ends with you, the researcher*”. Some general ethical issues in research that result in some prohibitions are [Neuman, 2012]:

* never cause unnecessary or irreversible harm to participants,
* secure prior voluntary consent when possible and never unnecessarily humiliate, and
* degrade, or release harmful information about specific individuals that was collected for research purposes.

 In this study we have tried to maintain ethics strictly. In the theoretical analysis we have given proper references in the research. We have maintained the ethical formalities throughout the study [Mohajan, 2018b].

1. **Conclusions and Recommendations**

 In this study we have tried to discuss the components of quantitative research methodology in a systematic and logical order. We observe that this type of research is highly structured, and the results are determined numerically or statistically. Researchers prefer quantitative research for its creative characteristics and strengths. Worldwide it is one of the most used approaches to conduct natural and social science researches.

 In the study we have observe that the quantitative research methodology is founded on the scientific method. It uses experimental and observed measurements to develop theories and advance knowledge in the research area. In this type of research the variables are clearly defined and results seem very accurate, as the results are obtained by mathematical formulae and statistical analyses. We have discussed the historical background,stepsand types of quantitative research. We have also briefly overview the characteristics, strengths, weaknesses, advantages, and disadvantages,ethical reflections of this type of research.

 A researcher must be more conscious during data collection and interpretation to avoid bias in quantitative research. We confirm that in future, quantitative researchers must contribute more knowledge in their true and original researches. We hope that this review paper will help new researchers to write qualitative research articles accurately and efficiently.
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